Practitioner's Docket No.

540-016.002

PATEN1

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: V. KANKAANPAA

Application No.: 10 / 088,539 Group No.: 1731 Filed: March 19, 2002 Examiner: Marc S. ALVO

For: Method and Device for Processing Pulp

Mail Stop RCE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114)

1. Applicant hereby requests continued examination, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.114, for the above identified application.

NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 Request for continued examination:

"(a) If prosecution in an application is closed, an applicant may request continued examination of the application by filing a submission and the fee set forth in § 1.17(e) prior to the earliest of:

- (1) Payment of the issue fee, unless a petition under § 1.313 is granted;
- (2) Abandonment of the application; or
- (3) The filing of a notice of appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit under 35 U.S.C. 141, or the commencement of a civil action under 35 U.S.C. 145 or 146, unless the appeal or civil action is terminated.
- (b) Prosecution in an application is closed as used in this section means that the application is under appeal, or that the last Office action is a final action (§ 1.113), a notice of allowance (§ 1.311), or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application.

#### (When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory; Express Mail certification is optional!) Refund Ref: 01/19/2005 0000141468 I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being: CHECK Refund Total: \$210.00

deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a) 37 C.F.R. § 1.10 \*

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10\*

with sufficient postage as first class mail.

as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Mailing Label No. EV 562513445 US

TRANSMISSION

☐ facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office, (70

January 14, 2005

Lissette Ramos

(type or print name of person certifying)

\* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(Request for Continued Examination (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]—page 1 of 6)

00000020 10086539

(c) A submission as used in this section includes, but is not limited to, an information disclosure statement, an amendment to the written description, claims, or drawings, new arguments, or new evidence in support of patentability. If reply to an Office action under 35 U.S.C. 132 is outstanding, the submission must meet the reply requirements of § 1.111.

(d) If an applicant timely files a submission and fee set forth in § 1.17(e), the Office will withdraw the finality of any Office action and the submission will be entered and considered. If an applicant files a request for continued examination under this section after appeal, but prior to a decision on the appeal, it will be treated as a request to withdraw the appeal and to reopen prosecution of the application before the examiner. An appeal brief under § 1.192 or a reply brief under § 1.193(b), or related papers, will not be considered a submission under this section."

NOTE: An applicant may file a submission under 37 CFR 1.114 containing only an information disclosure statement (37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98) in an application subject to a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. § 151. An appeal brief or a reply brief (or related papers) will not be considered a submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See 37 CFR 1.114(d). The submission, however, may consist of the arguments in a previously filed appeal brief or reply brief, or may simply consist of a statement that incorporates by reference the arguments in a previously filed appeal brief or reply brief. In addition, a previously filed amendment after final may satisfy this submission requirement. American Inventor's Protection Act of 1999, Question & Answer A5.

NOTE: Even though an RCE is improper (e.g., because it was filed before the prosecution is closed), an amendment submitted with the RCE will still be entered and considered by the examiner since it was timely filed and responsive to the non-final Office action in compliance with 37 CFR 1.111. American Inventor's Protection Act of 1999, Question & Answer A4.

WARNING: 35 U.S.C. 132(b) and § 1.114 provide for the continued examination of an application and not examination of a continuing application). Accordingly, the Office will not permit an applicant to obtain continued examination on the basis of claims that are independent and distinct from the claims previously claimed and examined. Notice of March 10, 2000, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868.

WARNING: The provisions of 37 CFR 1.114 also do not apply (1) to a provisional application; (2) an application for a utility or plant patent filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) before June 8, 1995; (3) an international application filed under 35 U.S.C. 363 before June 8, 1995; (4) a patent under reexamination or (5) an application for a design patent. 37 CFR § 1.114(e).

WARNING: The PTO has pointed out why § 1.97(b) does not provide that an information disclosure statement will be considered if it is filed within three months after the date of a request for continued examination under § 1.114. The PTO explained that since an RCE filing is a reply under 35 U.S.C. 132, the applicant may be entitled to patent term adjustment if the Office does not act on an application containing a request for continued examination under § 1.114 within four months. See 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A)(ii). Thus, the Office cannot delay action on RCE applications for three months to determine whether an information disclosure statement will be filed. The Office, however, is adopting provisions (§ 1.103(c)) for a limited suspension of action after the filing of a request for continued examination under § 1.114, for the applicant to obtain additional time (prior to the issuance of the next Office action) to provide an information disclosure statement (or amendments, or an affidavit or declaration) after the filing of the RCE. See, Notice of August 16, 2000, "Request for Continued Examination Practice and Changes to Provisional Application Practice; Final Rule", 65Fed. Reg., pages 50091–50105, at page 50100 (comment 11); OG: September 5, 2000, pages 13–24

WARNING: One of the time periods excluded from patent term adjustment is the time consumed by a continued examination request under 35 U.S.C. 132(b) (§ 1.114(b) (1)).

WARNING: The Office will not suspend action in an application when a reply by the applicant is outstanding. 35 U.S.C. 133 requires an applicant to "prosecute the application" within six months of an Office action (or a shorter period as set in the Office action) to avoid abandonment of the application. If an applicant files a request for continued examination but does not also provide any submission (in reply to the prior Office action) within the period for reply to the prior Office action, the application is abandoned by operation of law (35 U.S.C. 133).

The Office will treat a request for continued examination under § 1.114 containing a bona fide submission that is not fully responsive to the prior Office action under the practice set forth in § 1.135(c). In addition, under the limited suspension of action provisions of § 1.103(c), an applicant must still file a request for continued examination practice in compliance with § 1.114, but may obtain additional time (prior to the issuance of the next Office action) to provide an information disclosure statement, amendments, or an affidavit or declaration after the filing of the request for continued examination.



See, Notice of August 16, 2000, "Request for Continued Examination Practice and Changes to Provisional Application Practice; Final Rule", 65Fed. Reg., pages 50091–50105, at page 50102 (comment 20); OG: September 5, 2000, pages 13–24] Page 50102

WARNING: Section 1.97(b) does not provide that an information disclosure statement will be considered if it is filed within three months after the date of a request for continued examination under § 1.114.

NOTE: There is no limit to the number of times the fee for continued examination may be submitted. Notice of March 10, 2000, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868.

NOTE: Unlike a continuation application, a continued examination request can utilize the mailing procedure of 37 CFR 1.8. See 37 CFR § 1.8(a)(2)(i)(A).

Continued Prosecution Request Fee \$ \_1,000.00

### TIME REQUEST IS BEING MADE

<b>2.</b> T	his r	eguest is being submitted (check appropriate item(s) below):					
i	Prior to abandonment of the application						
ii. 🗔 Payment of the issue fee							
		☐ Prior to payment of issue fee					
		☐ Issue fee has been paid but a petition under § 1.313 has been granted					
iii.		Prior to a decision on appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals & Interferences					
		A notice is being separately sent to the Board of Patent Appeals & Interferences that this Request for Continued Examination is being filed.					
NOT		such a notice is not sent to the Board then may refuse to vacate a decision rendered after the filing fithe RCE but before recognition by the Office of the RCE request under § 1.114.					
iv.		Appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals of the Federal Circuit under 35 U.S.C. 145 or ☐ Commencement of a civil action under 35 U.S.C. 146					
		☐ Prior to the filing of such appeal or commencement of civil action					
		☐ Such appeal or commencement of civil action has been terminated					
		ENCLOSURES					
3. E	inclos	sed herewith is/are:					
WAF	RNING	: If reply to a final or non-final Office action under 35 U.S.C. 132 is outstanding, the submission must meet the reply requirements of § 1.111. 37 C.F.R. § 1.114(b).					
	An	information disclosure (37 C.F.R. § 1.98)					
	<b>P</b>	Form PTO-1449 (PTO/SB/08A and 08B)					
	Nev	mailed on December 16, 2004) v arguments					
	Nev	v evidence in support of patentability					
<b>4</b>	Oth	er: Letter Accompanying RCE					

Continued Prosecution Request Fee \$ 1,000.00

(Request for Continued Examination (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]—page 3 of 6)

FEE FOR REQUEST (37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e)).

	is applica		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
	☐ Smal	l entity (and	l status is still	as sma	ill entity) .					\$3	85.00	
	☑ Othe	r than a sm	all entity							\$7	70.00	\$1,0
			FEEF	OR CL	AIMS							
NOTE			examination unde Notice of March	•					dditional	clai	ms fee	
	37 CFR 1	'.53(d)(3): "The	filing fee for a co	ntinued pr	osecution ap	plicatio	n filed	d unde	er this pa	ragi	raph is:	
	(i) The	basic filing fee	as set forth in §	1.16; and	i							
	of any any an	amendment ac nendments und	fee due based or ccompanying the der \$ 1.116 unen continued prosec	request fo tered in th	r an applicat e prior appli	ion und	der thi	s para	igraph ar	nd e	entry of	
5. Th	e fee for	claims (37 (	C.F.R. § 1.16(	(b)-(d)) ha	as been c	alcula	ted a	as sh	iown b	elo	w:	
	(Col. 1	)	(Col. 2)	(Col.	3) SMA	LL EN	ΓΙΤΥ		OTHER SMALL			· ·
	CLAIM REMAINI AFTEF AMENDM	NG R	HIGHEST NO PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR				DIT. EE	OR	RATE		ODIT. FEE	
OTAL	• 20	MINUS	·· 20	=	0 x\$9=	\$		_	x\$18=	\$	0	
NDEP.	• 2	MINUS	2	=	0 x\$43=	\$			x\$86 =	\$	0	
FIRST	PRESENTA	TION OF MUL	TIPLE DEP. CLAI	М	+\$145	= \$			+\$290=	\$		
					TOTAL ADDIT. FEE			OR	TOTAL ADDIT. FEE \$		0.00	0
* 1f	•		than entry in Co sly Paid for" IN T	HIS SPAC	E is less tha	n 20, e						
" If " If TI b	the "Highes he "Highest ox in Col. 1	st No. Previous No. Previously of a prior amo	sly Paid For" IN 1 y Paid For" (Total endment or the n	or indep.	) is the highe	st nun	nber fo		in the ap	pro	priate	
" If " If To	the "Highes he "Highest ox in Col. 1	st No. Previous No. Previously of a prior amo 37 C.F.R. § 1.	y Paid For" (Total endment or the n 116.	or Indep.	) is the highe claims origin	est num ally file	nber fo		in the ap	pro	priate	
" If TI b	the "Highest he "Highest ox in Col. 1 IING: See	st No. Previous No. Previously of a prior amo 37 C.F.R. § 1.	y Paid For" (Total endment or the n 116. (complete (a)	or Indep.	) is the highe claims origin	est num ally file	nber fo		in the ap	pro	priate	
" If " If TI b	the "Highest he "Highest ox in Col. 1 IING: See	st No. Previous No. Previously of a prior amo 37 C.F.R. § 1.	y Paid For" (Total endment or the n 116.	or indep. number of	) is the highe claims origin	est num ally file	nber fo		in the ap	pro	priate	
" If TI b	the "Highest he "Highest ox in Col. 1 IING: See No ac	st No. Previous No. Previously of a prior amo 37 C.F.R. § 1.	y Paid For" (Total endment or the n 116. (complete (a)	or indeponumber of or (b), as	) is the higher claims origin states or applicable.	est num ally file	nber fo		in the ap	pro	priate	

(Request for Continued Examination (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]—page 4 of 6)

# **EXTENSION OF TIME**

	(ii arrextension of time	s is appropriate com	plete (a) or (b), as ap	pplicable)
6. The § 1	proceedings herein are .136(a) apply.	e for a patent applica	ation, and the provis	ions of 37 C.F.F
NOTE:	37 C.F.R. § 1.704(b) "an to conclude processing or exin excess of three months that objection, argument, or other or action was mailed or given shall be reduced by the numbrafter the date of mailing or rejection, objection, argument or shortened statutory period three-month period set forth	ramination of an application are taken to reply to any not re request, measuring such to the applicant, in which of per of days, if any, beginning transmission of the Office t, or other request and end f, for reply that is set in to the set in to the set in the set	on for the cumulative total of otice or action by the Office th three-month period from case the period of adjustment of on the day after the date the communication notifying ding on the date the reply	of any periods of time making any rejection the date the notice of the set forth in § 1.703 at that is three month the applicant of the was filed. The period
(a) □	Applicant petitions for 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(1	or an extension of ti )-(4), for the total nu	me, the fees for which imber of months che	ch are set out in cked below:
	tension for (months) one month two months three months four months	Fee for other than small entity  \$ 110.00 \$ 420.00 \$ 950.00 \$ 1,480.00	Fee for small entity \$ 55.00 \$ 210.00 \$ 475.00 \$ 740.00	
If an a	additional extension of ti	Fee: ime is required, plea	\$se consider this a pe	etition therefor.
		complete the next its		
	☐ An extension for paid therefor of \$	months has	s already been secu is deducted from the	red, and the fee ne total fee due
		Extension fee	due with this request	\$
		OR		
(b) <b>⊡</b> ∕	Applicant believes th conditional petition ar the possibility that appand fee for extension	nd authorization to policant has inadverter	ay the necessary fee	s to provide for
		TOTAL FEE(S) D	UE	
WARNIN	G: The fee for continued exa	mination under § 1.114 r	may not be deferred. 37 C	C.F.R. § 1.53(f).
	otal fee(s) due is/are:			
	ntinued Prosecution Fee			\$ <u>1,000.00</u>
	e(s) for additional claims		d)))	\$
Ext	ension of time fee (if ar	ny) (§ 1.17(a)(1)-(4))		\$
			Total Fee(s) Due	\$_1,000.00

(Request for Continued Examination (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]—page 5 of 6)



## PAYMENT OF FEE(S) DUE

8. Please	pay the fee(s) for this continu	ed examination application as follo	ows:			
<b>B</b>	Check is attached for the sur	n of	\$_1,000.00			
	Charge Account	the sum of	\$			
	Charge Credit Card the sum	of	\$			
	(Credit Card Payment Form (F	PTO-2038) attached)				
Please § 1.17(a)(1		nal fee(s) for § 1.17(e), § 1.16(	b)-(d) and/or			
	Account23-0042 <b>DEFI</b>	CIENCIES ONLY				
	Credit Card (Credit Card Payr	ment Form (PTO-2038) attached).				
	INVE	NTORSHIP				
	ny change of inventors must be via the 0, 2000, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868.	procedure set forth in 37 CFR § 1.48. See	Notice of March			
9. This a	pplication as amended names	as inventors:				
	the same inventors as previou	isly designated for the claims.				
fewer than the inventors previously designated ans a statement accompanies this request for the deletion of the name or names of the person or persons who are not inventors of the invention now being claimed.						
☐ a person not named previously as an inventor and a petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48 is/has separately: ☐ being filed ☐ been filed						
	DEFERRAL	OF EXAMINATION				
	request for deferral of exami xamination.	nation accompanies this request	for continued			
Reg. No.:	27,550	SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER				
Tel. No.: (	203 ) 261-1234	Alfred A. Fressola  (type or print name of practitioner)  Ware, Fressola, Van Der S1				
Customer	No.: 004955	Bradford Green, Building 5 P.O. Address P.O. Box 224, 755 Main Str Monroe, CT 06468-0224				

(Request for Continued Examination (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]-page 6 of 6)